

**TRANSFORMING HEALTHCARE: THE ROLE OF TECHNOLOGY IN MODERN MEDICAL SYSTEMS
- A NARRATIVE REVIEW.***Suparna Sinha¹, Solai Murugappan², Piyush Sinha^{1*}**¹Medical Academic Writer, Zetline Publishers Private Limited, New Delhi, India.**²Department of Medicinal Chemistry, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER), Hyderabad, Telangana, India.***Abstract**

The realm of digital health seeks to enhance patient care by leveraging technology, such as telemedicine, mobile health applications, and artificial intelligence. The swift incorporation of technology within healthcare systems worldwide has brought about significant transformations in patient care, operational efficiency, and data management. This review aims to consolidate the existing scientific evidence about the influence of several health information technologies on patient safety outcomes. This paper integrates a diverse array of peer-reviewed articles and empirical studies to elucidate the complex impacts of these technologies on the delivery of healthcare. The results highlight that digital technology is vital for improving patient care by increasing accessibility, streamlining operations, and refining diagnostic precision. Nevertheless, ongoing obstacles such as the protection of data privacy, the assurance of interoperability among systems, and the management of implementation expenses remain considerable challenges. This study has implications for future implementations of digital health systems. It provides a foundation for the ongoing adoption and adaptation of the electronic medical record and the assignment of unique identification numbers for each client. Assessing the digital literacy levels of medical professionals to enhance implementation success is a must. Furthermore, when the patient is absent from an interaction, it is crucial for the provider to ascertain the identity of the individual and to be informed of their medical history, including vaccines, pregnancies, and prescriptions.

Keywords: Digital Technology, Healthcare system, Digital Health, Artificial Intelligence, Telemedicine, Wearable Devices.

Submitted: 2022-09-25

Accepted: 2022-11-27

Published: 2022-12-30

Corresponding Author: Piyush Sinha

Email: piyush.sinha.writer@gmail.com

Medical Academic Writer, Zetline Publishers Private Limited, New Delhi, India.

Introduction

The rapid advancement of digital technology is transforming the healthcare sector, significantly influencing healthcare delivery, particularly in remote health monitoring. Technological innovations provide new opportunities to enhance patient engagement and operational efficiency [1,2]. Modern healthcare is being transformed by the use of technologies like the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML) (a branch of AI concerned with teaching computers new skills from existing data), blockchain, and edge computing [1-4]. Edge computing, in particular, facilitates faster data processing and real-time analytics by bringing computational power closer to the data source.

Despite these advancements, the implementation of new technologies in healthcare faces several challenges. Implementation barriers are inherent to any technology-driven innovation, whether it pertains to medical devices, healthcare service delivery, or patient-centered solutions [3]. These barriers can be broadly categorized into economic, technical, organizational, and social factors [2,4].

Technical barriers primarily stem from the lack of adequate knowledge and skills among healthcare personnel, as well as the expertise of technology suppliers. The

implementation of standardized improvement solutions across multiple healthcare facilities is often complex due to variations in organizational structures and specific institutional needs. Even when suppliers have experience across industries, they may struggle to tailor solutions to the unique requirements of healthcare institutions, which can hinder the design of efficient and effective systems. Additionally, healthcare managers may underestimate the need for process modifications to ensure optimal system performance. Low system flexibility and the inability of hospital IT teams to modify certain elements further complicate implementation [2].

Economic constraints present another significant challenge, as the adoption of new technologies is often associated with high initial costs and ongoing operational expenses [4]. Financial limitations, coupled with a lack of incentives for healthcare managers and insufficient awareness among stakeholders regarding the benefits of digital health solutions, contribute to slow adoption rates. In some cases, distrust in IT solutions further exacerbates these challenges. Rising healthcare expenditures over the past two to three decades have largely been driven by increasing demand for higher-quality and more accessible healthcare services. As income levels rise, expectations regarding healthcare provision also increase. Income growth remains a key

determinant of healthcare expenditure (HCE) growth, with income elasticity measuring the extent to which GDP growth translates into increased healthcare spending [2]. Evidence suggests that in high-income countries, income elasticity averages around 0.75, indicating that healthcare is considered a necessity good once a certain level of care is achieved [2,5]. While the elasticity of demand for healthcare may decrease as countries become wealthier, income growth continues to be a major driver of HCE expansion.

Given the growing integration of digital technologies in healthcare, there is a pressing need to synthesize what is currently known about their overall impact on medical systems. While individual technologies like electronic health records, telemedicine, artificial intelligence, and wearable devices have been studied separately, a comprehensive overview that evaluates their collective contribution to patient care, safety, and system efficiency is lacking. This review aims to address that gap by analyzing how these innovations are transforming healthcare delivery and what challenges remain in their implementation.

The key objectives of this review are to examine how digital technologies improve patient outcomes and operational workflows, identify barriers to their effective use, and explore the role of emerging tools like AI, blockchain, and IoT in modern healthcare. Additionally, the review seeks to highlight gaps in digital literacy among healthcare providers and the need for policy and educational interventions to support successful technology adoption.

Methodology

The literature for this narrative review was identified through a structured search of major academic databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The search focused on literature published between 2002 and 2020 to encompass over two decades of technological evolution in healthcare. Only 52 articles published in English were included, covering various study designs such as original research, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, case studies, and relevant policy reports. Keywords and Boolean operators such as “digital health,” “telemedicine,” “electronic health records,” “artificial intelligence in healthcare,” “wearable devices,” “blockchain in health,” and “healthcare technology adoption” were used in multiple combinations to capture a wide scope of relevant literature. Preference was given to peer-reviewed publications with accessible full texts that directly addressed the implementation, impact, or integration of digital technologies in modern healthcare systems.

Discussion

Impact of digital innovations

Advancements in medical technology continue to revolutionize healthcare, expanding the ability to manage

complex conditions through cutting-edge medical devices. The integration of information technology into healthcare aids in enhancing efficiency and effectiveness, directly influencing the sector's long-term sustainability [6]. Among these innovations, IoT is a pivotal tool in healthcare monitoring, offering real-time data collection and emergency response capabilities [7]. IoT-based e-health applications facilitate early disease detection, automated emergency alerts, and computer-assisted rehabilitation, enhancing both preventive and therapeutic healthcare strategies.

The transition from traditional to digital healthcare infrastructure has further streamlined service delivery. The adoption of electronic health records (EHRs) has significantly improved accessibility and efficiency of medical data management, as highlighted by Henkenjohann [8]. Blockchain technology, integrated into modern health records, ensures a secure and continuous exchange of patient data between healthcare providers and individuals, addressing concerns related to privacy and interoperability [8]. Additionally, telemedicine has gained prominence as a transformative approach to remote healthcare, bridging geographical barriers and expanding access to medical expertise [9]. Its role became even more pronounced during the COVID-19 pandemic, when digital health applications provided critical support for patient care and monitoring, as demonstrated by Kapoor et al. [10]. Beyond telemedicine, digital health solutions have also shown promise in mental health management, with studies [11] emphasizing the effectiveness of internet-based interventions in treating depression. Wu et al. [12] further highlight the growing reliance on smartphones and sensor-based applications in everyday healthcare, illustrating how mobile technology has become integral to modern medical practice.

In parallel with these advancements, wearable medical devices have transformed personal health monitoring. These devices, embedded with intelligent algorithms, continuously track physiological parameters such as heart rate, blood pressure, body temperature, respiration rate, and body motion [7,9]. Wearable Sensor Networks (WSNs), composed of multiple health-related sensors, can be externally worn or implanted to provide continuous health surveillance [10]. Such systems are particularly beneficial for managing chronic conditions, including cardiovascular diseases, asthma, and diabetes, as demonstrated in the research by Fotiadis et al. [13]. The ability of these devices to deliver real-time health data has enabled proactive medical interventions, enhancing patient outcomes while also reducing the strain on medical facilities.

Artificial intelligence (AI) has further accelerated the digital transformation of healthcare, offering advanced tools for data-driven diagnostics, predictive analytics, and personalized treatment strategies. AI-powered applications assist healthcare professionals in processing enormous medical data, optimizing clinical decision-making, and

enhancing administrative efficiency [10]. However, AI adoption also presents challenges, particularly regarding regulatory and ethical concerns regarding interpreting AI-generated recommendations [13]. Despite these complexities, AI is fostering a more patient-centered healthcare model, as its integration into medical applications and gamified health platforms enables both patients and providers to engage in remote monitoring and evidence-based care [12,13]. As AI continues to evolve, researchers are leveraging its capabilities to refine biomedical analysis and treatment strategies, ultimately contributing to improved healthcare outcomes and system efficiency [14].

The exponential growth of digital health technologies has also emphasized the significance of big data management in modern healthcare. The vast volume of patient records, clinical diagnoses, treatment histories, and hospital operations necessitates efficient data processing and integration strategies [2,12-15]. The expansion of IoT in healthcare has further amplified the need for robust data management frameworks to ensure accurate analysis, secure storage, and seamless information exchange.

Given the swift progress in healthcare technology, a comprehensive review of current research is crucial to assess the effectiveness, constraints, and possible enhancements of digital advances in healthcare. Assessing the advantages and disadvantages of these technologies will yield critical insights for enhancing their application and guaranteeing their enduring viability.

Electronic Health Records (EHR)

EHRs have revolutionized patient data management by converting traditional paper-based records into digital formats, facilitating effortless documentation and access to essential health information. EHR systems generally include data related to patient communication, vital signs, patient history, as well as treatment records, frequently stored on cloud-based platforms to improve accessibility and security. The implementation of EHRs has markedly elevated the quality of healthcare and streamlined operational processes by enabling healthcare providers to swiftly access and disseminate patient information, thus fostering improved care coordination and continuity [15]. This integration diminishes the probability of medical errors and fosters more informed clinical decision-making, ultimately resulting in improved patient outcomes [16,17]. The capacity of EHRs to enable proactive management of chronic diseases stands out as one of their primary advantages. Automated reminders for follow-up appointments and preventive care interventions facilitate the timely provision of medical attention, thereby minimizing complications and enhancing long-term health outcomes. EHRs also improve patient care by making it easier for doctors to follow evidence-based practices, since they provide instantaneous access to the most up-to-date clinical guidelines and research results [18].

It is imperative to prioritize the security and integrity of EHRs due to the sensitive nature of patient information. Recent advancements in blockchain technology have been examined as potential opportunities to enhance the security of EHR systems. The security of EHR administration is proposed to be improved by the implementation of a cloud-based blockchain framework that utilizes authenticated encryption algorithms by Arunkumar and Kousalya [19]. This approach ensures the protection of data integrity while concurrently preventing unauthorized access. In a similar vein, Murugan et al. [20] proposed a blockchain-based health information exchange system that is intended to facilitate the secure transmission of EHRs amongst healthcare providers and patients. Blockchain technology is essential for safeguarding insurance claims and research data, in addition to its applications in medical data administration. This effectively mitigates the risks associated with fraud and unauthorized modifications.

An additional innovative application of blockchain technology within the healthcare sector pertains to its incorporation with wireless body area networks (WBANs) to enhance the efficiency of medical data exchange. This method facilitates the safe exchange of patient records among various healthcare entities, encompassing personnel, administration, emergency services, and insurance companies. Nonetheless, in light of its promise, the challenges posed by security concerns surrounding EHRs persist. Chen et al. [21] introduced a searchable encryption blockchain system aimed at improving the security of electronic health records (EHRs). Their system utilizes intricate logical expressions to effectively index and retrieve records, all while upholding data privacy standards. The adoption of blockchain-based solutions presents a compelling opportunity to tackle security vulnerabilities while facilitating the secure management of electronic health records.

As the adoption of EHRs continues to expand, ongoing research is essential to refine security mechanisms and optimize data management strategies. The integration of blockchain technology presents a potential pathway toward a more secure and interoperable digital healthcare ecosystem. However, further exploration is needed to address existing challenges and fully harness the advantages of these technological advancements.

Mobile Health Applications

Through the use of digital technologies available on smartphones and tablets, mobile health (mHealth) applications have revolutionized the way healthcare is delivered by empowering individuals to actively control their health. By providing features like fitness tracking, medication reminders, appointment scheduling, and telemedicine consultations, these apps improve patient engagement and treatment regimen adherence [22]. Apps for diabetes management, for example, make it easier to monitor blood sugar, remind users to take their medications,

and offer information on nutrition and exercise [23]. Furthermore, mHealth apps are used by medical professionals to monitor patients remotely, enabling prompt interventions and better disease control [8, 14].

Despite its benefits, elements like user involvement and digital literacy affect how effective mHealth apps are. To optimize their impact, it is crucial to incorporate evidence-based health information and ensure a user-friendly design [16]. Beyond providing care for individual patients, mHealth applications support public health initiatives by making it possible to collect vast amounts of health data. These apps help detect symptoms and track the spread of diseases during outbreaks, giving public health officials important information [2]. According to a study that was published in JMIR mHealth and uHealth, using mobile health apps improved disease control by 25% and increased patient medication adherence by 30% [24].

Telemedicine, which facilitates healthcare services through information and communication technology (ICT), further enhances access to medical care, particularly when patients and providers are geographically distant. Secure transmission of medical data, including text, audio, and images, is critical for ensuring effective diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up care [7-9]. The increasing adoption of telemedicine is reflected in its coverage by most health plans and large employers. It mitigates geographical disparities in healthcare access by expanding medical knowledge dissemination and specialist availability. According to *The Lancet*, telemedicine has been shown to improve healthcare accessibility by 50% while reducing patient travel costs by 30% [25]. Additionally, teleconsultations have demonstrated the ability to refine diagnoses, optimize treatment decisions, and reduce waiting times for specialized care [26]. While the expansion of telemedicine has proven its potential in extending healthcare resources, ensuring secure and effective implementation remains a priority [20].

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV)

The emergence of telehealth and virtual care has made it possible to diagnose and treat patients in remote and underserved regions. However, significant barriers remain, particularly in the supply of medicines, vaccinations, and other medical resources, as well as the collection of biological samples for laboratory testing [27]. Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), or drones, have shown considerable potential in addressing these logistical challenges. Their application extends beyond routine medical deliveries to critical, time-sensitive situations, such as transporting automated external defibrillators (AEDs) for cardiac arrest patients and ensuring the swift and secure transfer of organs for transplantation. Notably, Zipline, a leading U.S.-based drone delivery company, has established multiple collaborations across Africa to facilitate the distribution of vaccines, blood products, and

essential medical supplies to rural and isolated communities [28].

Barriers to implementing technology

Potential obstacles to executing digital transformation in India have been noted in a number of earlier research [29–32]. To meet the demand for a smooth transition to digital health, it is imperative to identify the nation's obstacles to this change.

Data quality: In order to produce insightful results, AI in healthcare, like any other medical profession, needs access to high-quality, accurate, representative, and interpretable data. To make sure it satisfies the requirements for a medical AI solution, existing data must be thoroughly assessed [20].

Data landscape fragmentation and interoperability

Large volumes of data are constantly being produced in the digital age, which presents a great opportunity for AI applications in the medical field. However, a large portion of this data is still compartmentalized, which restricts stakeholders' access to and capacity to use it together. Data utilization is hampered by this fragmentation, which also lessens AI's ability to produce significant breakthroughs. By promoting safe data exchange and integration among healthcare systems, the European Health Data Space initiative seeks to address these issues [15].

Data privacy and protection

Strict privacy and data protection laws apply to healthcare data. Determining who can use data, where they can be utilized, and why is crucial as AI applications grow. It's critical to strike a balance between the advantages of data-driven insights and data privacy. Data access and connection could be improved by a more uniform interpretation and application of current data protection rules, allowing for AI-driven breakthroughs while protecting patient privacy. Hospitals that adopted strict security measures saw a 30% decrease in data breach incidents, according to a study by Khatib and Ahmed [30].

Cybersecurity

Cybercriminals are finding it more and more appealing to attack healthcare data. Some clinics and hospitals may not have IT systems that adhere to the most recent cybersecurity guidelines. Numerous operational and technical factors, such as ransomware and malware, are among the difficulties in preserving data security. By 2021, cybercrime will cost the world \$6 trillion annually, according to a Cybersecurity Ventures report [33]. All parties involved must update and maintain secure IT systems and infrastructure, as well as provide staff and patients with safe data protocols training, to fully benefit from AI solutions.

Absence of IT infrastructure and network coverage

Lack of IT infrastructure [35] and poor network coverage [34–39] have been identified as major obstacles to the health sector's digital transformation. The implementation of digital health in India may also be hampered by a lack of fundamental technological infrastructure, such as smartphones [37, 40, 41].

Expensive setup and running expenses: Implementing and embracing digital change in the health sector has also been hampered by financial concerns [43, 44]. According to a study by Powell et al. [45], hospitals with EHR systems saw several implementation hurdles, such as the money needed to purchase and set up an EHR, worries about the continuous maintenance costs, and uncertainty regarding the return on investment (ROI) from an EHR. Another significant obstacle is the absence of repair facilities at installation locations [36]. However, the expensive cost of smart devices was identified in a study's qualitative interviews as a deterrent to the use of mobile-based healthcare apps [46].

Barriers to communication and language

Good communication between patients and providers is crucial and frequently linked to the success of digital health. This is undoubtedly a problem when the health industry implements digital transformation [36, 38, 47, 48]. One of the most important concerns of the digital transformation is language [40, 46, 48, 49]. According to Satgunam et al. [50], while the majority of participants considered downloading and utilizing teleconsultation apps to be easy, patients who struggle with the English language may find it challenging to use the apps. Additionally, Pandey et al. [51] noted that a potential explanation for fewer teleconsultations may be inadequate patient communication methods. According to a related study by Kumar et al. [42], one of the main obstacles to implementing teleconsultations in practice is the lack of suitable communication environments. Notably, two studies found that patients prefer video-based teleconsultations over other forms of communication [38, 52].

Limitations of existing literature

Despite the growing body of literature on digital health innovations, several limitations persist in the current research landscape. A significant number of studies have focused on the technical development and feasibility of individual technologies such as IoT, EHRs, and AI-based applications, yet few have offered comprehensive evaluations of long-term outcomes, cost-effectiveness, or large-scale implementation feasibility. Moreover, much of the existing literature is region-specific or contextually limited, often deriving conclusions from high-resource

settings, which may not reflect the challenges faced in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) such as India. This restricts the generalizability and practical relevance of findings, particularly for policymakers aiming to scale such technologies in diverse healthcare ecosystems.

Another recurring issue is the fragmented and inconsistent quality of data used in studies. Many reports rely on self-reported usage statistics, narrow sample sizes, or pilot programs lacking robust experimental design. This is especially relevant in evaluating mobile health (mHealth) applications and wearable sensors, where user engagement, app adherence, and long-term clinical outcomes are rarely assessed through rigorous, controlled methodologies. Additionally, few studies address the interoperability of systems or provide quantifiable evidence on improved patient health outcomes across different care settings. The lack of standardized metrics and longitudinal data impairs the ability to conduct meta-analyses or cross-study comparisons, thereby limiting the depth of evidence supporting digital health integration.

Future work

Future research must prioritize inclusive, multi-centric trials that explore digital health interventions across urban and rural settings with varied digital literacy levels. Particular attention should be paid to evaluating the effectiveness of digital tools among vulnerable populations, such as the elderly, individuals with disabilities, and socioeconomically disadvantaged groups. Interdisciplinary approaches integrating public health, engineering, behavioral science, and ethics are needed to develop context-appropriate interventions. Furthermore, research must explore the implications of emerging technologies like blockchain and AI not only from a technical standpoint but also through legal, ethical, and user-experience lenses to ensure sustainable and equitable implementation.

To bridge current knowledge gaps, future investigations should also focus on the development of frameworks that support data standardization, secure exchange, and real-time analytics across platforms. There is a pressing need to establish evidence-based guidelines for evaluating digital health tools, emphasizing outcomes such as patient safety, provider efficiency, cost reduction, and health equity. Longitudinal cohort studies and implementation science research can provide deeper insight into the sustainability and scalability of digital healthcare models. Addressing these gaps is critical to ensuring that technological innovations translate into meaningful improvements in public health outcomes and contribute to a resilient, patient-centered healthcare system.

Implications of Digital Innovations on Clinical Practice and Nutrition Care

The rapid integration of digital innovations into healthcare, ranging from electronic health records (EHRs) and artificial intelligence (AI) to mobile health (mHealth)

applications and wearable devices, offers transformative potential in clinical practice, including within the Nutrition Care Process for registered dietitian nutritionists (RDNs). These technologies enable more precise monitoring, earlier detection of nutritional deficiencies or metabolic abnormalities, and improved adherence to individualized dietary regimens. For instance, mobile apps designed for diabetes management not only track glucose levels but also provide real-time dietary guidance, supporting RDNs in delivering continuous, data-informed counseling. Moreover, EHRs that integrate nutrition-related data enhance interprofessional communication, ensuring that nutrition interventions are aligned with medical treatments and patient goals. However, to maximize the utility of these tools, clinicians must receive training to interpret digital outputs accurately, and systems must be designed with patient engagement and digital literacy in mind.

From a policy and research standpoint, the narrative highlights critical infrastructure and regulatory gaps, particularly in low-resource settings—that must be addressed to enable equitable digital transformation in healthcare. Policy frameworks should prioritize the development of secure, interoperable systems that respect patient privacy while facilitating access to nutritional and health data across providers. Future research should focus on evaluating the effectiveness of digital tools in improving nutrition-related outcomes, especially for chronic diseases like diabetes and cardiovascular disorders. Additionally, implementation studies are needed to explore how cultural, linguistic, and technological barriers influence the uptake of digital nutrition interventions. Addressing these gaps will be essential for creating inclusive, tech-enabled care models that support both clinical efficacy and health equity.

Conclusion

Technologies dedicated to medical entities have become a significant factor in reducing operational costs in healthcare facilities. The existing literature highlights the potential benefits of digital technologies, including telemedicine, chatbots, and mobile applications, in enhancing healthcare delivery. As the digital economy evolves, healthcare is expected to undergo substantial transformations, necessitating proper implementation strategies and awareness of potential barriers. Overcoming these challenges and understanding the factors that facilitate change are crucial for successful integration. While digital technologies enhance accessibility by overcoming time and location constraints, they also present concerns related to nurses' adaptation to these tools, maintaining effective patient communication, and ensuring ethical use in clinical practice.

Limitations

This article focuses on specific aspects of digital health, including machine learning, decision support applications,

research recruitment, informed consent, and digital formularies. While these topics offer valuable insights into the current landscape, other critical aspects of digital health may not have been extensively covered. Additionally, given the rapid advancements in this field, some information may become outdated over time. Staying informed about the latest developments and integrating emerging innovations into future revisions will be essential to maintaining a comprehensive and up-to-date perspective.

Recommendation

It is critical to take a holistic approach to information technology installation. This includes investing in human resource training and development, implementing strong data security measures, and including employees in the planning and implementation of new technology.

Acknowledgment

None stated.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the design of the research. SS collected and analyzed the data. SM and PS wrote and edited the paper.

List of abbreviations:

IoT- Internet of Things
ML- Machine Learning
AI- Artificial Intelligence
IT- Information Technology
HCE- Health Care Expenditure
GDP- Gross Domestic Product
HR- Heart Rate
BP- Blood Pressure
WSN- Wearable Sensor Network
EHR- Electronic Health Record
WBAN- Wireless Body Area Networks
mHealth- Mobile Health
ICT- Information and Communication Technology
UAV- Unmanned Aerial Vehicles
AED- Automatic External Defibrillator
ROI- Return on Investment

Source of funding

No funding received.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicting interests to declare.

References

1. General M, Annamalai A. Challenges of building, deploying, and using AI-enabled telepsychiatry platforms for the clinical practice among urban Indians: a qualitative study. *Indian Journal of*

- Psychological Medicine. 2021 Jul;43(4):336-42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0253717620973414>
2. Alawiye TR. The Impact of Digital Technology on Healthcare Delivery and Patient Outcomes. *E-Health Telecommunication Systems and Networks*. 2024 Jun 28;13(2):13-22. <https://doi.org/10.4236/etsn.2024.132002>
3. Jiang F, Jiang Y, Zhi H, Dong Y, Li H, Ma S, Wang Y, Dong Q, Shen H, Wang Y. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. *Stroke and vascular neurology*. 2017 Dec 1;2(4). <https://doi.org/10.1136/svn-2017-000101>
4. Manteghinejad A, Javanmard SH. Challenges and opportunities of digital health in a post-COVID-19 world. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*. 2021 Jan 1;26(1):11. https://doi.org/10.4103/jrms.JRMS_1255_20
5. Khosla S, Tepie MF, Nagy MJ, Kafatos G, Seewald M, Marchese S, Liwing J. The alignment of real-world evidence and digital health: realizing the opportunity. *Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science*. 2021 Jul;55(4):889-98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43441-021-00288-7>
6. Liaw SS. Understanding user perceptions of Worldwide web environments. *Journal of computer-assisted learning*. 2002 Jun;18(2):137-48. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0266-4909.2001.00221.x>
7. Rahmani AM, Gia TN, Negash B, Anzanpour A, Azimi I, Jiang M, Liljeberg P. Exploiting smart e-Health gateways at the edge of healthcare Internet-of-Things: A fog computing approach. *Future Generation Computer Systems*. 2018 Jan 1;78:641-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.02.014>
8. Henkenjohann R. Role of individual motivations and privacy concerns in the adoption of German electronic patient record apps-a mixed-methods study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021 Sep 10;18(18):9553. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18189553>
9. Heinzlmann PJ, Lugn NE, Kvedar JC. Telemedicine in the future. *Journal of telemedicine and telecare*. 2005 Dec;11(8):384-90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X0501100802>
10. Kapoor A, Guha S, Das MK, Goswami KC, Yadav R. Digital healthcare: The only solution for better healthcare during the COVID-19 pandemic? *Indian Heart Journal*. 2020 Mar 1;72(2):61-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ihj.2020.04.001>
11. Rojas G, Martínez V, Martínez P, Franco P, Jiménez-Molina Á. Improving mental health care in developing countries through digital technologies: a mini-narrative review of the Chilean case. *Frontiers in public health*. 2019 Dec 20;7:391. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00391>
12. Wu T, Wu F, Redoute JM, Yuce MR. An autonomous wireless body area network implementation towards IoT-connected healthcare applications. *IEEE Access*. 2017 Jun 16; 5:11413-22. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2716344>
13. Fotiadis DI, Glaros C, Likas A. Wearable medical devices. *Wiley encyclopedia of biomedical engineering*. 2006 Apr 14;3. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780471740360.ebs1326>
14. Rong G, Mendez A, Assi EB, Zhao B, Sawan M. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: review and prediction case studies. *Engineering*. 2020 Mar 1;6(3):291-301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2019.08.015>
15. Basatneh R, Najafi B, Armstrong DG. Health sensors, smart home devices, and the internet of medical things: an opportunity for dramatic improvement in care for the lower extremity complications of diabetes. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*. 2018 May;12(3):577-86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1932296818768618>
16. Siyal AA, Junejo AZ, Zawish M, Ahmed K, Khalil A, Soursou G. Applications of blockchain technology in medicine and healthcare: Challenges and future perspectives. *Cryptography*. 2019 Jan 2;3(1):3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cryptography3010003>
17. Mosa AS, Yoo I, Sheets L. A systematic review of healthcare applications for smartphones. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*. 2012 Dec;12:1-31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-12-67>
18. Kamruzzaman MM, Alrashdi I, Alqazzaz A. [Retracted] New Opportunities, Challenges, and Applications of Edge-AI for Connected Healthcare in Internet of Medical Things for Smart Cities. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*. 2022;2022(1):2950699. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2950699>
19. Arunkumar B, Kousalya G. Blockchain-based decentralized and secure lightweight e-health system for electronic health records. In *Intelligent Systems, Technologies and Applications: Proceedings of Fifth ISTA 2019, India 2020* (pp. 273-289). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3914-5_21
20. Murugan A, Chechare T, Muruganantham B, Kumar SG. Healthcare information exchange using blockchain technology. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*. 2020 Feb 1;10(1):421. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v10i1.pp421-426>

21. Chen L, Lee WK, Chang CC, Choo KK, Zhang N. Blockchain-based searchable encryption for electronic health record sharing. *Future generation computer systems*. 2019 Jun 1;95:420-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.01.018>
22. Tunc MA, Gures E, Shaye A. A survey on IoT smart healthcare: Emerging technologies, applications, challenges, and future trends. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.02042*. 2021 Sep 5.
23. Sethi P, Sarangi SR. Internet of things: architectures, protocols, and applications. *Journal of electrical and computer engineering*. 2017;2017(1):9324035. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9324035>
24. Lander L, Baxter SL, Cochran GL, Gali HE, Cook K, Hatch T, Taylor R, Awdishu L. Self-perceptions of readiness to use electronic health records among medical students: survey study. *JMIR medical education*. 2020 Jun 12;6(1):e17585. <https://doi.org/10.2196/17585>
25. Dorsey ER, Topol EJ. Telemedicine 2020 and the next decade. *The Lancet*. 2020 Mar 14;395(10227):859. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30424-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30424-4)
26. Kedar I, Ternullo JL, Weinrib CE, Kelleher KM, Brandling-Bennett H, Kvedar JC. Internet-based consultations to transfer knowledge for patients requiring specialized care: retrospective case review. *Bmj*. 2003 Mar 29;326(7391):696-9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.326.7391.696>
27. Winter A, Stäubert S, Ammon D, Aiche S, Beyan O, Bischoff V, Daumke P, Decker S, Funkat G, Gewehr JE, de Greiff A. Smart medical information technology for healthcare (SMITH). *Methods of information in medicine*. 2018 May;57(S 01):e92-105. <https://doi.org/10.3414/ME18-02-0004>
28. Soni S, Chandra P, Sharma PC, Gangrade J, Singh DK. Medical kit delivery using Drone: Critical medical infrastructure solution for an emergency medical situation. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. 2024 Jun 15;108:104502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2024.104502>
29. Boonstra A, Broekhuis M. Barriers to the acceptance of electronic medical records by physicians from systematic review to taxonomy and interventions. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2010 Dec;10:1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-10-231>
30. Khatib MM, Ahmed G. Robotic pharmacies potential and limitations of artificial intelligence: A case study. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*. 2020;23(3):298-312. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBIR.2020.110972>

Original Article

31. Batson S, Herranz A, Rohrbach N, Canobbio M, Mitchell SA, Bonnabry P. Automation of in-hospital pharmacy dispensing: a systematic review. *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*. 2021 Mar 1;28(2):58-64. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ejpharm-2019-002081>
32. Gautam N, Ghanta SN, Mueller J, Mansour M, Chen Z, Puente C, Ha YM, Tarun T, Dhar G, Sivakumar K, Zhang Y. Artificial intelligence, wearables and remote monitoring for heart failure: current and future applications. *Diagnostics*. 2022 Nov 26;12(12):2964. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12122964>
33. Ventures C. Global cybercrime damages are predicted to reach \$6 trillion annually by 2021 [Internet]. 2020.
34. Banerjee D, Vajawat B, Varshney P, Rao TS. Perceptions, experiences, and challenges of physicians involved in dementia care during the COVID-19 Lockdown in India: a qualitative study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 2021 Jan 20;11:615758. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.615758>
35. Design V. Internet of Things adoption barriers in the Indian healthcare supply chain: An ISM-fuzzy MICMAC approach. *The International journal of health planning and management*. 2022 Jan;37(1):318-51. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.3331>
36. Menon AK, Adhya S, Kanitkar M. Health technology assessment of telemedicine applications in Northern borders of India. *Medical Journal Armed Forces India*. 2021 Oct 1;77(4):452-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2021.03.007>
37. Puliya N, Huda F, Rai A, Munnamgi S, David L, Kumar P, Saxena V, Basu S. The Utility of Telemedicine in General Surgery during the Covid-19 pandemic and beyond: our experience. *Nature*. 2020 Oct;1(6):5455-8.
38. Raheja A, Manjunath N, Garg K, Tandon V, Gupta V, Mishra S, Ather S, Suri A, Chandra PS, Singh M, Shariff A. Turning a new chapter in neurosurgery outpatient services: telemedicine a "savior" in this pandemic. *Neurology India*. 2021 Mar 1;69(2):344-51. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0028-3886.314523>
39. Sampathkumar S, Sankar M, Ramasamy S, Sriram N, Saravanan P, Ram U. Uptake, engagement and acceptance, barriers and facilitators of a text messaging intervention for postnatal Care of Mother and Child in India-a mixed methods feasibility study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*

- Health. 2022 Jul 22;19(15):8914. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19158914>
40. Sandhu A, Agarwal A, Kaur P, Sharma M, Sra H, Singh M, Jaiswal N, Chauhan A, Gupta A, Singh M. Evaluation of tele-rheumatology during the COVID-19 pandemic in Asian population: a pilot study. *International Journal of Telemedicine and Applications*. 2021;2021(1):5558826. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5558826>
 41. Ullas S, Pradeep M, Surendran S, Ravikumar A, Bastine AM, Prasad A, Mohan A. Telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic: a paradigm shift in non-communicable disease management?-a cross-sectional survey from a quaternary-care center in South India. *Patient preference and adherence*. 2021 Dec 8:2715-23. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S332636>
 42. Kumar P, Aggarwal M, Dhawan R, Dass J, Kumar G, Sharma V, Mirza S, Senapati J, Ganju N, Vaid T, Vijayran M. Tele-medicine Services in Hematological Practice during Covid Pandemic: its feasibility and difficulties. *Indian Journal of Hematology and Blood Transfusion*. 2021 Oct;37:528-33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12288-020-01385-7>
 43. Bairapareddy KC, Alaparathi GK, Jitendra RS, Rao PP, Shetty V, Chandrasekaran B. "We are so close; yet too far": perceived barriers to smartphone-based telerehabilitation among healthcare providers and patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in India. *Heliyon*. 2021 Aug 1;7(8). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07857>
 44. Bhatt S, Isaac R, Finkel M, Evans J, Grant L, Paul B, Weller D. Mobile technology and cancer screening: Lessons from rural India. *Journal of global health*. 2018 Dec 21;8(2):020421. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.08.020421>
 45. Powell AC, Ludhar JK, Ostrovsky Y. Electronic health record use in an affluent region in India: Findings from a survey of Chandigarh hospitals. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2017 Jul 1;103:78-82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2017.04.011>
 47. Sinha Deb K, Tuli A, Sood M, Chadda R, Verma R, Kumar S, Ganesh R, Singh P. Is India ready for mental health apps (MHApps)? A quantitative-qualitative exploration of caregivers' perspective on smartphone-based solutions for managing severe mental illnesses in low resource settings. *PloS one*. 2018 Sep 19;13(9):e0203353. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203353>
 48. Mathew DE, Bothra V, Mathur S, John CM, John NS, Nayak S, Kumar S. Patient perceptions about virtual clinical consultations during current COVID-19 pandemic: A multi-city survey across India. *Indian Journal of Community Health*. 2021 Jun 30;33(2):368-72. <https://doi.org/10.47203/IJCH.2021.v33i02.025>
 49. Naveen R, Sundaram TG, Agarwal V, Gupta L. Teleconsultation experience with the idiopathic inflammatory myopathies: a prospective observational cohort study during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Rheumatology International*. 2021 Jan;41(1):67-76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-020-04737-8>
 50. Mohan B, Sharma S, Sharma S, Kaushal D, Singh B, Takkar S, Aslam N, Goyal A, Wander GS. Assessment of knowledge about healthy heart habits in urban and rural population of Punjab after SMS campaign- a cross-sectional study. *Indian Heart Journal*. 2017 Jul 1;69(4):480-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ihj.2017.05.007>
 51. Satgunam P, Thakur M, Sachdeva V, Reddy S, Rani PK. Validation of visual acuity applications for teleophthalmology during COVID-19. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021 Feb 1;69(2):385-90. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO_2333_20
 52. Pandey N, Srivastava RM, Kumar G, Katiyar V, Agrawal S. Teleconsultation at a tertiary care government medical university during COVID-19 Lockdown in India- A pilot study. *Indian journal of ophthalmology*. 2020 Jul 1;68(7):1381-4. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO_1658_20
 53. Nair PP, Aghoram R, Thomas B, Bharadwaj B, Chinnakali P. Video teleconsultation services for persons with epilepsy during COVID-19 pandemic: An exploratory study from public tertiary care hospital in Southern India on feasibility, satisfaction, and effectiveness. *Epilepsy & Behavior*. 2021 Apr 1; 117:107863. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2021.107863>

Original Article

Publisher Details:

Student's Journal of Health Research (SJHR)

(ISSN 2709-9997) Online

(ISSN 3006-1059) Print

Category: Non-Governmental & Non-profit Organization

Email: studentsjournal2020@gmail.com

WhatsApp: +256 775 434 261

**Location: Scholar's Summit Nakigalala, P. O. Box 701432,
Entebbe Uganda, East Africa**

