

## CLINICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL PROFILE OF PARTICIPANTS SUSPECTED OF SBP IN CHRONIC LIVER DISEASE COMING IN IGIMS EMERGENCY, PATNA- A RETROSPECTIVE CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY.

Santosh Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Anita<sup>b</sup>, Santosh Kumar Nayan<sup>a</sup>, Abhay Kumar<sup>a</sup>\*, Siddharth Singh<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Trauma and Emergency, I. G. I. M. S. Patna, Bihar, India. <sup>b</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, JMCH Sasaram, Bihar, India.

Page | 1

# Abstract

# Background

Chronic liver disease (CLD) affects individuals of all ages, sexes, geographical locations, and ethnicities worldwide. Although the rate of progression and clinical course may vary, various etiological factors contribute to a similar clinicopathological pathophysiology in CLD.

## **Objectives**

This study aimed to determine the bacterial profile, laboratory parameters, and clinical presentation of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) and assess how these factors influence the outcomes of patients with CLD.

## **Materials and Methods**

This retrospective, cross-sectional, observational study was conducted at Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences (IGIMS), Patna, Bihar, India, over a period of 18 months. A total of 160 patients were enrolled in the study. Case records of patients aged 18 years and older, diagnosed with SBP, were retrieved from the hospital's electronic database and included in the study.

## Results

Relevant gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria were identified in ascitic fluid and blood samples. Total leukocyte count, creatinine, bicarbonate, and direct bilirubin levels were found to be significantly associated with patient outcomes, including discharge against medical advice (DAMA), mortality, and successful discharge (p-value < 0.001). Among the patients, 16 (10%) had a history of SBP, and approximately 120 (75%) had not received antibiotics in the previous three months.

## Conclusion

This study provides valuable insights into the clinical characteristics, laboratory findings, and bacterial profile of SBP in CLD patients. These findings highlight the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to SBP management and contribute to the growing body of knowledge on the condition.

## **Recommendations**

The results of our investigation highlight the need for further research on geographical variations in the bacterial profile and clinical presentation of SBP, as well as the development of early diagnostic techniques and appropriate treatment plans.

 Keywords- Chronic liver disease (CLD), Bacterial infection, Clinical profile, E. coli, Outcomes.

 Submitted:
 2025-03-09
 Accepted:
 2025-04-25
 Published:
 2025-06-01

 Corresponding Author:
 Abhay Kumar

 Email:
 abhayapache83@gmail.com

 Associate Professor, Department of Trauma and Emergency, I. G. I. M. S. Patna, Bihar, India

## Introduction

Chronic liver disease (CLD) affects people of all ages, sexes, geographical locations, and ethnicities worldwide.

Although the rate of progression and clinical course may vary, various etiological factors contribute to a similar clinicopathological pathophysiology in CLD [1]. In India,



the rising prevalence of obesity and alcohol consumption has been linked to a steady increase in CLD-related mortality [2].

After spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP), the most common bacterial infections in individuals with cirrhosis include skin and soft tissue infections, urinary tract

Page | 2 infections, pneumonia, and spontaneous bacteremia [3, 4]. Symptoms of liver decompensation, such as ascites progression, hepatic encephalopathy, gastrointestinal bleeding, and renal failure, may develop during or after an episode of SBP [5]. Reports indicate that 17.12% of cirrhotic patients worldwide develop SBP, with the prevalence of community-acquired SBP and healthcare-associated SBP being 6.05% and 11.11%, respectively [6]. In general, SBP outcomes in CLD patients are poor, with approximately 20% of cirrhotic patients dying in hospitals and a global SBP-related mortality rate exceeding 30.61% [5, 6].

Since ascitic fluid cultures predominantly yield gramnegative enteric bacteria, intestinal bacterial translocation is considered a major cause of SBP in cirrhotic patients. Increased intestinal permeability and infection susceptibility may also result from portal hypertensioninduced structural abnormalities in the intestinal wall and weakened immunity [6].

Third-generation cephalosporins and other antibiotics are commonly used to treat SBP, depending on bacteriological findings. When sepsis or multidrug-resistant bacteria are detected in ascitic fluid, carbapenem administration is recommended. Additionally, intravenous albumin helps prevent complications such as hepatorenal syndrome and acute kidney injury [5].

To guide treatment, SBP patients should be routinely monitored for bacterial infections and their antibiogram. Prompt and effective management of SBP requires a comprehensive understanding of its characteristics, bacterial profiles, and other factors influencing patient outcomes. However, there are limited recent studies in India addressing these aspects. Therefore, a retrospective study was conducted to examine a large dataset of patient records to evaluate the outcomes of SBP in CLD patients. The objective was to determine the bacterial profile, laboratory parameters, and clinical presentation of SBP and assess how these factors influenced the clinical outcomes of patients with pre-existing CLD.

# Methodology

#### Study Design

This retrospective, cross-sectional, observational study was conducted at the Department of Gastroenterology, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences (IGIMS), Patna, Bihar, India, over a period of 18 months.

## **Study Population**

A total of 160 patients were enrolled in the study. Case records of patients aged 18 years and older, diagnosed with SBP, were retrieved from the hospital's electronic database and included in the study. Patients presenting with fever, ascites, and abdominal pain, along with an elevated total white blood cell (WBC) count and more than 250 polymorphonuclear neutrophils in ascitic fluid, were considered to have confirmed SBP.

## **Data Collection**

Demographic data, clinical features of SBP, causes of cirrhosis, and laboratory parameters—including complete blood count (CBC), international normalized ratio (INR), liver and renal function tests, and upper gastrointestinal (UGI) endoscopy findings—were collected. Clinical outcomes, such as discharge, death, and discharge against medical advice (DAMA), were also recorded and analyzed.

#### **Study Procedure**

The growth and pattern of bacteria in the blood and ascitic fluid cultures were used to evaluate the antibiotic sensitivity pattern.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 24. Categorical data were presented as frequencies and percentages, while continuous data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to determine correlations between parameters across different patient groups. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## **Results**

The antibiotic sensitivity pattern and distribution of microorganisms isolated from ascitic fluid are summarized in Table 1. All relevant gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria were identified in both ascitic fluid and blood samples.



# Table 1. Patterns of Antibiotic Sensitivity and Distribution of Microorganisms Isolated from Ascitic Fluid

Type of Sample	Organism	n (%)
Ascitic Fluid	E. coli	12 (7.5%)
	<i>E. coli</i> - Amp C + carbapenemase-resistant	4 (2.5%)
	<i>E. coli</i> (extended spectrum β-lactamases, ESBL)	4 (2.5%)
	Enterococcus	4 (2.5%)
	Klebsiella oxytoca - carbapenem-resistant	3 (1.87%)
	Klebsiella pneumoniae	2 (1.25%)
	Klebsiella pneumoniae - carbapenem-resistant	3 (1.87%)
	Klebsiella pneumoniae (ESBL-producing)	2 (1.25%)
	Klebsiella pneumoniae/Stenotrophomonas	3 (1.87%)
	Pseudomonas fluorescens and Enterococcus faecium	2 (1.25%)
	Sphingomonas paucimobilis	2 (1.25%)
	Staphylococcus aureus - methicillin-sensitive (MSSA)	1 (0.62%)
	Sterile	94 (58.7%)
	Stenotrophomonas and Candida	2 (1.25%)

Data were presented as n (%)

Table 2 depicts resistance patterns among gram-negative bacteria. Gram-negative bacteria were resistant to Ceftriaxone 02 (66.6%) mainly.

# Table 2. Resistance Pattern Among Gram-Negative Bacteria (Non-Enterobacterales) (n=3)

Antibiotics	Resistant n (%)	Sensitive n (%)	Intermediate n (%)
Amoxicillin	00	3 (100)	
Gentamicin	1 (33.33)	2 (66.66)	
Ciprofloxacin	00	3 (100)	
Imipenem	1 (33.33)	2 (66.66)	
Ceftriaxone	2 (66.66)	1 (33.33)	
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	1 (33.33)	2 (66.66)	
Meropenem	00	3 (100)	
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	00	3 (100)	

Data were presented as n (%)

Table 3 shows the resistance pattern among gram-negative bacteria. Gram-negative bacteria were mostly resistant to Ciprofloxacin 35 (94.5%), followed by Sulfamethoxazole 30 (81.08%), Tazobactam 27 (72.97%), and Gentamicin 26 (70.27%)



Table 3. Resistance Pattern Among Gram-Negative Bacteria (Enterobacteral	es) (n=37)
--	------------

Antibiotics	Resistant n (%)	Sensitive n (%)	Intermediate n (%)
Ampicillin	10	27	
Gentamicin	26 (70.27)		
Ciprofloxacin	35 (94.59)		
Cefepime	6 (16.21)		
Ceftriaxone	6 (16.21)		2 (5.4)
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	30 (81.08)		2 (5.4)
Imipenem	16 (43.24)	21	
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	27 (72.97)		
Amoxicillin	10 (27.02)		
Ertapenem	16 (43.24)	21	1 (2.7)
Meropenem	16 (43.24)	21	1 (2.7)
Amikacin	16 (43.24)		
Colistin	00		
Nitrofurantoin	16 (43.24)		

Page | 4

Data were presented as n (%)

Table 4 shows the clinical characteristics of patients with SBP, including fever, abdominal distension, jaundice, hematemesis, melena, abdominal discomfort, vomiting, diarrhea, cough, and lower limb edema.

# Table 4. Patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and their clinical characteristics

Clinical Features	Values
Fever	35 (21.8%)
Abdomen distension	100 (62.5%)
Jaundice	50 (31.2%)
Hematemesis	12 (7.5%)
Melena	15 (9.3%)
Abdomen pain	70 (43.7%)
Vomiting	20 (12.5%)
Diarrhea	15 (9.3%)
Cough	18 (11.2%)
Swelling of the lower limbs	60 (37.5%)
Altered mental status	40 (25%)

## Data were presented as n (%)

The correlation between laboratory markers and the clinical outcomes of SBP patients is displayed in Table 5. Total leukocyte count, creatinine, bicarbonate, and direct bilirubin were found to be significantly associated among DAMA, death, and discharged participants with a p-value <0.001.



Table 5. Laboratory parameter correlation with SBP patients' clinical outcomes				
Parameters	DAMA	Death	Discharge	p-value
Total leukocyte count	13,108±8166	15,844±13,575	9,498±7,101	<0.001
Hemoglobin	9.3±2	9.3±1.7	8.9±2.1	0.976
Platelet count	98,332±72,545	108,051±93,454	114,786±89,423	0.781
Creatinine	1.89±1.23	2.32±1.89	1.54±1.80	<0.001
Bicarbonate	29.5±51.1	16.8±4.7	20.5±4.0	<0.001
Sodium	131±8	131±6	136±5	0.458
Potassium	4.24±1.2	4.39±0.97	3.96±0.62	0.064
Direct bilirubin	6.7±5.4	6.4±6.3	4.4±4.6	<0.05
Indirect	1.9±1.1	2.3±1.7	1.7±1.2	0.056
SGOT	115±152	229±436	76±78	0.128
SGPT	72±152	110±254	48±82	0.485
ALP	176±170	129±65	136±62	0.652
GGT	41.4±37.3	46.1±66.5	46.5±40.2	0.493
Albumin	2.2±0.5	2.3±0.6	2.5±0.5	0.311
Globulin	3.5±0.9	5.1±10.2	3.5±1.0	0.190

# ameter correlation with SRP natients' clinical outcomes

Data were presented as mean±SD

## P-value was considered significant at less than 0.05

Among the patients, 16 (10%) had a history of SBP, and approximately 120 (75%) had not received antibiotics in the previous three months. About 64 (40%) of the patients passed away, 20 (12.5%) were discharged against medical advice (DAMA), and 88 (55%) were discharged. All DAMA patients were in a moribund state. Table 6 presents the various outcomes observed among the participants.

Table 6. Outcomes Observed among Participants		
Outcomes	N (%)	
Administered with antibiotics in the past 3 months	120 (75%)	
Prior History of SBP	16 (10%)	
Discharged Patients	88 (55%)	
DAMA	20 (12.5%)	
Died Patients	64 (40%)	

# Discussion

Page | 5

The treatment of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) in individuals with chronic liver disease (CLD) is challenging due to the presence of multidrug-resistant bacteria. Therefore, to develop effective treatment strategies that reduce mortality rates, it is essential to understand the bacteriological profile of SBP, its clinical and laboratory characteristics, and the factors influencing patient outcomes.

The study identified lower limb edema, abdominal pain, and abdominal distension as the most common symptoms of SBP in individuals with CLD. Additionally, jaundice and impaired mental status were frequently observed. These findings align with previous research, which has reported that impaired mental status and abdominal pain are typical manifestations of SBP, although many patients may remain asymptomatic [7, 8, 9].

In the present study, jaundice and impaired mental status significantly impacted clinical outcomes. Prior studies have similarly identified altered sensorium as a common feature of SBP and highlighted jaundice as an independent predictor of the condition [8, 10, 11].



This investigation also revealed frequent abnormalities in several laboratory parameters, particularly those related to liver and kidney function. Notably, significant deviations in creatinine, bicarbonate, sodium, and potassium levels were observed, with aberrant creatinine and bicarbonate levels strongly influencing clinical outcomes. Renal impairment, including renal failure, is a well-documented

Page | 6

complication of SBP [8]. Regarding the bacterial profile, our study found a higher prevalence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* in both ascitic fluid and blood samples. This aligns with previous research indicating that Gram-negative bacteria are the primary causative agents of SBP [7, 10, 12, 13]. Additionally, multidrug-resistant strains such as MRSA, MRCoNS, ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, and MSSA were also identified, underscoring the importance of considering regional variations and antibiotic resistance patterns when managing SBP.

# Conclusion

The study concluded that the clinical characteristics, laboratory findings, and bacterial profile of SBP in patients with CLD are better understood through this research. These findings highlight the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to managing SBP and contribute to the growing body of knowledge on the condition.

# Recommendations

The results of our investigation highlight the need for further research on geographical variations in the bacterial profile and clinical presentation of SBP, as well as the development of early diagnostic techniques and appropriate treatment plans.

# Limitations

A selection bias might have been introduced by the study's retrospective design. It was a single-center study as well. Robust data collection on clinical characteristics and associated outcomes necessitates a multicentric strategy. The patterns of antibiotic resistance in these patients were not investigated, despite the fact that our investigation was centered on bacterial identification. The identification of suitable treatment approaches depends on this.

# **List of Abbreviations**

CLD- Chronic liver disease SBP- Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis WBC- White blood cell

# Student's Journal of Health Research Africa e-ISSN: 2709-9997, p-ISSN: 3006-1059 Vol.6 No. 6 (2025): June 2025 Issue <u>https://doi.org/10.51168/sjhrafrica.v6i6.1736</u> Original Article

CBC- Complete blood count INR- international normalized ratio UGI- Upper gastrointestinal IGIMS- Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences ESBL: Extended spectrum ß-lactamase, MSSA: Methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRCoNS: Methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* 

# Acknowledgment

We are thankful to the Department of Gastroenterology, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar, India, for their support and contribution to the study.

# **Source of Funding**

No funding was obtained for the study.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

# **Availability of Data**

All data analyzed during this study are included in this published article

# References

- Schuppan D, Afdhal NH. Liver cirrhosis. The Lancet. 2008 Mar 8;371(9615):838-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60383-9
- Cheemerla S, Balakrishnan M. Global epidemiology of chronic liver disease. Clinical liver disease. 2021 May;17(5):365-70. https://doi.org/10.1002/cld.1061
- Piano S, Singh V, Caraceni P, Maiwall R, Alessandria C, Fernandez J, Soares EC, Kim DJ, Kim SE, Marino M, Vorobioff J. Epidemiology, predictors and outcomes of multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis across the world. Final results of the "Global study". Digestive and Liver Disease. 2018 Feb 1;50(1):2-3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2018.01.007
- Shizuma T. Spontaneous bacterial and fungal peritonitis in patients with liver cirrhosis: A literature review. World journal of hepatology. 2018 Feb 27;10(2):254. https://doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v10.i2.254



- Marciano S, Diaz JM, Dirchwolf M, Gadano A. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with cirrhosis: incidence, outcomes, and treatment strategies. Hepatic medicine: evidence and research. 2019 Jan 14:13-22. https://doi.org/10.2147/HMER.S164250
- Tay PW, Xiao J, Tan DJ, Ng C, Lye YN, Lim WH, Teo VX, Heng RR, Yeow MW, Lum LH, Tan EX. An epidemiological meta-analysis on the worldwide prevalence, resistance, and outcomes of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in cirrhosis. Frontiers in Medicine. 2021 Aug 5;8:693652. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.693652
  - Huang CH, Lee CH, Chang C. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in decompensated liver cirrhosis: literature review. Livers. 2022 Sep 6;2(3):214-32.
  - https://doi.org/10.3390/livers2030018 8. Ameer MA, Foris LA, Mandiga P, Haseeb M.
  - Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.
  - Alaniz C, Regal RE. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: a review of treatment options. Pharmacy and Therapeutics. 2009 Apr;34(4):204.
  - 10. Paul K, Kaur J, Kazal HL. To study the incidence, predictive factors, and clinical outcome of

spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with cirrhosis with ascites. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2015 Jul 1;9(7):OC09. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2015/14855.6191

- Paul K, Kaur J, Kazal HL. To study the incidence, predictive factors, and clinical outcome of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with cirrhosis with ascites. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2015 Jul 1;9(7):OC09. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2015/14855.6191
- 12. Mahato T, Sharma Y, Thapa S. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis among chronic liver disease patients with ascites admitted to the department of medicine of a tertiary care center: a descriptive cross-sectional study. JNMA: Journal of the Nepal Medical Association. 2023 Apr 30;61(260):300.

https://doi.org/10.31729/jnma.8124

 Nguyen LC, Lo TT, La HD, Doan HT, Le NT. Clinical, laboratory, and bacterial profile of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in Vietnamese patients with liver cirrhosis. Hepatic Medicine: Evidence and Research. 2022 Jan 1:101-9. https://doi.org/10.2147/HMER.S369966

# **Publisher Details:**

Research

Africa

Student's Journal of Health Research (SJHR) (ISSN 2709-9997) Online (ISSN 3006-1059) Print Category: Non-Governmental & Non-profit Organization Email: studentsjournal2020@gmail.com WhatsApp: +256 775 434 261 Location: Scholar's Summit Nakigalala, P. O. Box 701432, Entebbe Uganda, East Africa

Page | 7